



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/833,969 | 04/11/2001 | Michael L. Obradovich | 42254/DMC/C685 | 3844 |
| 23363 | 7590 | 07/05/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 | | | NGUYEN, LEE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2618 | |
| DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/833,969 | | OBRADOVICH, MICHAEL L. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | LEE NGUYEN | | 2618 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5, 7-15 and 18-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4, 10-12 and 18-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 5, 7-9, 13-15, 27 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the communication filed 04/03/2006. Claims 1-2, 6, 16-17, have been canceled. Claims 3-5, 7-15, 18-28 remain in prosecution. Claims 4, 10-12, 18-26 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger et al. (US 6,377,810) in view of Havinis et al. (US 6,360,102).

Regarding claim 3, Geiger teaches a method of providing contact information regarding a user, the method comprising: allocating a user-specific space in memory 21 accessible over a computer network 22 to a specific user 15-17 (figs. 1, 4); associating a mobile communication device with the user (col. 2, 32-38); determining a location of the user by receiving location information provided by a mobile communication device (col. 2, 32-38); storing data indicative of the location of the user in the use-specific

space (col. 2, 32-38); receiving an access list of possible requesters of the data in the user-specific space and providing the data indicative of the location of the user to possible requesters on the access list (col. 4, lines 26-40 and lines 57-66). Geiger also teaches receiving, from the user, additionally data regarding the user (see attribute certificate provided to the server by the mobile device, col. 5, lines 3-13); storing the additional data regarding the user in the user-specific space, (certificate attributes including time constrain and specific geographic regions where requester is allowed to access, col. 5, lines 3-56) and providing the data indicative the additional data regarding the user to possible requesters on the access list (col. 5, lines 56-59). Geiger differs from the claimed invention in that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger, Havinis teaches that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger (abstract, col. 4, lines 5-10, col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 4)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine Havinis with Geiger in order to allow the user to define a location privacy profile.

Claims 5, 7-9, 13-15 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geiger et al. (US 6,377,810) in view of Strunk et al. (US 2002/0068551) and Havinis et al. (US 6,360,102).

Regarding claim 5, Geiger teaches a location relevant server system comprising: a personal communication device (PCD) 15-17 (figs. 1 and 4) comprising a GPS receiver 17 and wireless communication capability (transceiver); a GPS server 20 receiving information indicating a location and unit identifier associated with the PCD (col. 2, 17-44); the GPS server 20 providing the PCD location and the unique identifier associated with the PCD to an application server 21 (col. 2, 38-44); the application server 21 configured to execute a program upon receiving the location and the unique identifier information associated with the PCD to update a user specific data space with a current location and the unique identifier associated with the PCD (col. 2, 38-44, col. 4, 41-44); the application server 21 further configured to allow different users different access to the application server 21 based on the identity of a user (col. 2, 38-44 and col. 4, 40-44). Geiger fails to teach that the application server is further configured to store received from and information concerning an individual associated with the PCD in the user specific data space. Strunk teaches that data concerning establishment of communication with the mobile communication device such as contact information email, fax, individuals can be stored in a contact database, which are provided by the user (see [0006] through [0019], it is noted that the user provides his contact information to stations 100, 101...N so that the contact database 20 can be obtained said contact information from stations 100, 101, ...N). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine Strunk with Geiger so that the caller can contact the callee via alternate means. Geiger also teaches that the stored information being related to the location of the user

(certificate attributes including time constrain and specific geographic regions where requester is allowed to access, col. 5, lines 3-56). Geiger also differs from the claimed invention in that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger, Havinis teaches that the access list of possible requested users is received from the user and storing in the user specific space. In the same field of Geiger (abstract, col. 4, lines 5-10, col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 4)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine Havinis with Geiger in order to allow the user to define a location privacy profile.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the application server provide information concerning the individual to a requester (see [0009] of Strunk).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the application server is configured to modify data in the user specific data space (col. 4, lines 41-44 of Geiger).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches contact information regarding the individual (see [0017] of Strunk).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the GPS server 20 is configured to send PCD locations and identifiers to the application server 21 (col. 2, 38-44 of Geiger).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the application server is configured to provide different information concerning the individual to the different requesters (see [0011] and [0017] of Strunk).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches that the user specific data space stores contact information regarding the user associated with the PCD (see [0017] of Strunk).

Regarding claims 27-28, the combination of Geiger and Strunk also teaches the phone, fax and e-mail information (see [0006], [0007] and [0009] of Strunk).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 5, 7-9, 13-15 and 27-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7854. The examiner can normally be reached on FIRST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDERSON D. MATTHEW can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.


LEE NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.